Welcome to the Conference on Person-Centered Medicine in Geneva

You meet here in a time of great challenges to the well-being and to the mental health. The societies and the economical environment are rapidly changing and these changes affect all population groups. Presently, the most important societal stress factors may be the dynamics within the labor market that increases the uncertainty about the household income. Such conditions provoke diverse emotions and are risk factors for the work – life balance, affecting negatively everyday life of the individual and family members. However, there are also more subtle pressures: new technologies give unlimited opportunities to communicate and to transfer and exchange all sorts of information. Willing or not, one is forced to keep up with these developments, since lack of access soon leads to social exclusion.

To cope with these stresses a person must develop sophisticated psycho-social skills and strategies. But people often react with anxiety disorders, depression, addiction or harmful aggression against oneself or others. Even children might get neglected during such personal crises.

In order to strengthen the personal identity in times of stress the physician Paul Tournier developed the model of person-centered Medicine in Geneva. Therefore the congress is not only dedicated to his pioneering work but also to better understand this comprehensive treatment approach. Still not enough is known about protective factors and resilience. There is evidence that cognitive skills, self-image and self-appreciation, among other things are protective factors. Good relations in the immediate environment and support from outside are further keys for well-being.

In the beginning of this century the importance of mental health has finally been recognized by policy makers. International and national authorities developed action plans and are about to implement them. The Swiss authorities support the integration of mental health promotion and disease prevention into the field of public health. Various activities already take place. For example a new law for prevention and health promotion will soon be debated in the Swiss Parliament. But much work is still ahead. I hope, your conference will provide important contributions to this endeavor.

I wish you stimulating presentations and discussions.

Prof. Thomas Zeltner
Director of the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Berne
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CONFERENCE PROGRAM

Conference Objectives

- To examine and discuss key concepts of person-centered medicine and practical approaches for its implementation
- To elicit useful initiatives on person-centered medicine
- To engage international medical and health organizations on the Conference’s theme.

Core Organizing Committee

J.E. Mezzich (World Psychiatric Association President 2005-2008), J. Snaedal (World Medical Association President 2007-2008), C. VanWeel (World Organization of Family Doctors President 2007-2010), I. Heath (World Organization of Family Doctors Executive Committee Member at Large).

Conference Participants

Physicians, other health professionals, and persons interested in the health field.
Registration fee: USD 200 (payable on site by credit card), SF 100 for Geneva University faculty & trainees.

Conference Secretariat

Prof. J.E. Mezzich, International Center for Mental Health, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Fifth Ave & 100th Street, Box 1093, New York, New York 10029-6574, USA.
Tel 1-718-334-5094, Fax 1-718-334-5096, Email: juanmezzich@aol.com.
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DAY ONE, THURSDAY MAY 28, 2009

8:00 – 8:30 am.  Registration and check-in

8:30 – 8:45 am.  Conference Opening
Welcoming words from Local Authorities and Core Organizing Committee
Jean-Dominique Vassalli (Geneva University Rector), Panteleimon Giannakopoulos (Vice-Dean, Medical School, University of Geneva), Juan E. Mezzich (Chair Organizing Committee), Jon Snaedal (WMA), Chris van Weel (Wonca).

8:45 – 9:45 am. International Organization Perspectives and Activities on Person-centered Medicine (each presenter will have 5-10 minutes followed by general discussion)
Chair: Jon Snaedal (WMA, Reykjavík, Iceland)
- International Alliance of Patients’ Organizations (IAPO) Perspectives: J. Mwangi (London)
- International Network for Person-centered Medicine (INPCM) Perspectives: J.E. Mezzich (New York)
- World Health Organization (WHO) Perspectives: W. van Lerberghe (WHO, Geneva)
- World Medical Association (WMA) Perspectives: Dr. Otmar Kloiber (Ferney-Voltaire, France)
- World Organization of Family Doctors (WONCA) Perspectives: C. van Weel (Nijmegen)
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) Perspectives: Gottfried Kreutz (Geneva)
- International Council of Nurses (ICN) Perspectives: T. Ghebrehiwet, (Geneva)

9:45 – 10:00 am. Coffee Break

10:00 – 12:30 pm. Special Initiatives Relevant to Person-centered Care (Selected initiatives and those proposed by major invited organizations) (each topic will have 10 to 15 minutes, the chair 5 minutes, and a general discussion will follow)
Chair: Chris van Weel (Wonca) (Nijmegen, The Netherlands)
- New developments on WMA’s Caring Physicians of the World. Y. Coble (Jacksonville, USA)
- International Association of Medical Colleges (IAOMC) Person-centered Perspectives on Distance Learning: J. Appleyard (London)
- Bringing Together Values-based and Evidence-based Medicine: UK Department of Health Initiatives in the "Personalization" of Care: Bill (KWM) Fulford, J. Wallcraft (London, UK)
- Break
- Evolution in the Practice of Person-centered Medicine: A Note on Supervision: F. Quartier (Geneva)
- European Federation of Associations of Families of People with Mental Illness (EUFAMI) Initiatives on Person Centered Care: S. Steffen (Salzburg, Austria)
- Internet-based Initiatives for Person-centered Medicine: R. Montenegro (Buenos Aires)
- Anthropedia’s Initiative to Promote Person-centered Care by Empowering Individuals and Communities: S. Kedia (Denver, USA) and L. Munsch (St. Louis, USA)

12:30 – 2:00 pm. Lunch (Open)
2:00 – 3:30 pm. **Concepts and Meanings of Person-centered Medicine** (each topic will have 15 min., the chair and discussant 5 minutes each, followed by general discussion)

- Chair: George Christodoulou (Athens)
  - The Person in Medicine: E. Cassell (New York)
  - Identity, empathy and engagement in Person Centred Medicine: the socio-cultural context: J. Cox (Cheltenham, UK)
  - Life Experience and Medicine of the Person: B. Ruedi (Neuchatel, Switzerland)
  - Discussants: O. Kloiber (WMA) (Ferney-Voltaire, France), R. Hernandez (WAS)(Caracas)

3:30 – 3:45 pm. **Coffee Break**

3:45 – 5:15 pm. **Procedures for Person-centered Diagnosis** (each topic will have 15 min., the chair and discussant 5 minutes each, followed by general discussion)

- Chair: John Copeland (WFMH)
  - Multilevel Explanations and Diagnosis in Medicine: K. Schaffner (Pittsburgh)
  - The Person-centered Integrative Diagnosis Model: I. Salloum (Miami)
  - Prospects for Person-centered Diagnosis in General Medicine: M. Klinkman (Ann Arbor, USA)
  - Discussants: M. Botbol (Paris), S. Steffen (Salzburg)

5:30 - 6:30 pm. **Panel on Programmatic Contributions to Person-centered Medicine**

Brief (3-minute) statements from collaborative organizations and groups towards a broadly-based person-centered medicine program followed by a 5-minute summary and a short general discussion.

- Chair: Robert Cloninger (St. Louis, USA)
  - Summary: T. Sensky (London)

6:45 pm. **Conference Reception** (Open to all conference participants)

7:30 pm **Conference Dinner** (By invitation)

---

**DAY TWO: FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2009**

8:30 – 10:00 am. **Procedures for Person-centered Treatment and Health Promotion** (each topic will have 15 min., the chair and discussant 5 minutes each, followed by general discussion)

- Chair: Otmar Kloiber (WMA, Ferney-Voltaire, France)
  - Person-centered Integrative Care: R. Cloninger (St. Louis, USA)
  - Person-centered Medical Home in the Information Age in the United States: T. Epperly (AAFP) (Boise, Idaho, USA)
  - Person-centered Healthiness, Social Determinants and Health Promotion: E. Villar (WHO, Geneva)
  - Discussant: R.A. Kallivayalil (Cochin, India)

10:00 – 10:15 am. **Coffee Break**
10:15 am. – 11:45 am. **Person-centered Medicine for Children and Older People** *(each topic will have 15 min., the chair and discussant 5 minutes each, followed by general discussion)*

Chair: Michel Botbol (Paris, France)

- Person-centered Medicine for Children: J. Appleyard (London)
- Person-centered Medicine for Older People: J. Snaedal (Reykjavik, Iceland)
- Person-centered Care at the End of Life: Bridging Aging and Disability: L. Salvador-Carulla (Cadiz, Spain)
- Discussants: A-F Allaz (Geneva), H-R Pfeifer (Zurich)

11:45 – 1:00 pm. **Lunch (Open)**

1:00 – 2:30 pm. **Training and Research on Person-centered Medicine** *(each topic will have 15 min., the chair and discussant 5 minutes each, followed by general discussion)*

Chair: James Appleyard (IAOMC) (London)

- Developing Curricula for Person-centered Clinical Care: T. Sensky (London)
- Assessment of Person Centered Medicine and Person Centered Clinical Method
- Teaching at the Ambrosiana University Milan School of Medicine: G. Brera (Milan)
- Training and Research on the Value of Communication for Person-centered Care Outcomes: S. van Dulmen (Utrecht, Netherlands)
- Research on Person-centered Clinical Care: A. Finset (Oslo)
- Discussants: R. Montenegro (Buenos Aires), J.K. Trivedi ( Lucknow, India)

2:30 – 2:45 pm. **Coffee Break**

2:45 – 4:15 pm. **Person-centered Health Systems and Policies** *(each topic will have 15 min., the chair and discussant 5 minutes each, followed by general discussion)*

Chair: Gottfried Kreutz (CIOMS, Geneva)

- People-centered Medicine and WHO’s Renewal of Primary Health Care: Y. Pongsupap, W. van Lerberghe (WHO, Geneva)
- Health Informatics and Personalized Medicine: B. Üstün (WHO, Geneva)
- The Person in Health Care Policy Development: J. Wallcraft (London)
- Discussants: W. Rutz (Uppsala, Sweden), S. Steffen (Salzburg, Austria)

4:15 – 5:15 pm. **Conference Summary and Next Steps**
*Presented by the Core Organizing Committee Members*

- Conference Summary Remarks
- Next Organizational Steps

---

### POST-CONFERENCE WORKSHOP ON PERSON-CENTERED PSYCHIATRY

**Saturday and Sunday, May 30 (9:00 am. – 5:30 pm.) and May 31 (8:30 am. – 12:00 pm.), 2009**

**Venue:** Rooms adjacent to the Marcel Jenny Auditorium, University Hospitals of Geneva, Rue Micheli du Crest 24, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland.

---
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GALLERY OF INVITED PARTICIPANTS

Welcome Words

Prof. Jean-Dominique Vassalli
Rector, University of Geneva
Geneva, Switzerland
jean-dominique.vassalli@rectorat.unige.ch

Welcome Words

Prof. Panteleimon Giannakopoulos,
Vice-Dean, Medical School, University of Geneva.
Geneva, Switzerland
Panteleimon.Giannakopoulos@unige.ch

International Organization Perspectives and Activities on Person-centered Medicine Session Chair

Person-centered Medicine for Older People

Prof. Jón Snaedal
World Medical Association President 2007-2008
Reykjavik, Iceland
jsn@mmedia.is

Perspectives from the International Alliance of Patients’ Organizations

Mr. Jeremiah Mwangi
IAPO Senior Policy Officer
London, UK
info@patientsorganizations.org

Perspectives from the International Network for Person-centered Medicine

Prof. Juan E. Mezzich,
Professor of Psychiatry & Director,
International Center for Mental Health,
Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York University.
New York, USA
juanmezzich@aol.com
Perspectives from the World Health Organization

People-centered Medicine and WHO’s Renewal of Primary Health Care

Dr. Wim van Lerberghe
Director, Department for Health Policy
Development and Services
World Health Organization
Geneva, Switzerland
vanlerberghew@who.int

Perspectives from the World Medical Association

Procedures for Person-centered Treatment & Health Promotion Session Chair
Concepts and Meanings of Person-centered Medicine Session Discussant

Dr. Otmar Kloiber
Secretary General, World Medical Association
Ferney-Voltaire, France
otmar.kloiber@wma.net

Perspectives from the World Organization of Family Doctors

Special Initiatives Relevant to Person-centered Care Session Chair

Prof. Chris van Weel
President, World Organization of Family Doctors (Wonca)
Professor of Primary and Community Care,
Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre,
Nijmegen, The Netherlands
C.vanWeel@elg.umcn.nl

Perspectives from the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences

Person-centered Health Systems & Policies Session Chair

Prof. Gottfried Kreutz
Secretary General, Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS)
Geneva, Switzerland
kreutzg@who.int

Perspectives from the International Council of Nurses

Dr. Tesfamicael Ghebrehiwet, RN, PhD
Consultant, Nursing and Health Policy
International Council of Nurses
tesfa@icn.ch
Procedures for Person-centered Diagnosis Session Chair
Prof. John R M Copeland
President, World Federation for Mental Health.
Emeritus Professor of Psychiatry,
University of Liverpool
Birkenhead, UK
jrmcop@btinternet.com

New Developments on WMA’s Caring Physicians of the World
Prof. Yank D. Coble
Past President, World Medical Association.
Distinguished Professor and Director,
Center for Global Health and Medical Diplomacy,
University of North Florida,
Jacksonville, Florida, USA
y.coble@unf.edu

International Association of Medical Colleges’
Person-centered Perspectives on Distance Learning
Person-centered Medicine for Children
Training & Research on Person-centered Medicine Session Chair
Prof. James Appleyard MA, MD, FRCP, FRCPCH
Secretary, IAOMC Board of Trustees
Chairman of IAOMC’s Ethics Committee
Past President, World Medical Association
Jimappleyard2510@aol.com

Bringing Together Values-based and Evidence-based Medicine:
UK Department of Health Initiatives in the “Personalization” of Care
Prof. Bill (KMW) Fulford
Professor of Philosophy and Mental Health, University of Warwick
Honorary Consultant Psychiatrist, University of Oxford;
Co-Director, Institute for Philosophy, Diversity and Mental Health,
University of Central Lancashire, UK
pwwf@norcam.demon.co.uk

European Prevention Manual Project
Dr. E. M. van Weel–Baumgarten
Department of Primary Care,
University Medical Centre Nijmegen
Nijmegen, The Netherlands
E.vanWeel-Baumgarten@elg.umcn.nl
Evolution in the Practice of Person-centered Medicine: A Note on Supervision

Dr. Florence Quartier
Psychiatrist, Psychoterapist and Consultan Physician,
University Hospital of Geneva
Geneva, Switzerland
florence.quartier@worldcom.ch

EUFAMI Initiatives on Person-centered Care

Ms. Sigrid Steffen
President, European Federation of Associations of Families of People with Mental Illness (EUFAMI)
Salzburg, Austria
Sigrid.steffen@hpe.at

Internet-based Initiatives for Person-centered Medicine

Training & Research on Person-centered Medicine Session Discussant

Prof. Roger Montenegro
Professor of Psychiatry and Director,
APSA Institute of Postgraduate & Continuing Medical Education
Buenos Aires, Argentina
rogermontenegro@contener.org

Anthropedia’s Initiative to Promote Person-centered Care by Empowering Individuals and Communities

Dr. Sita Kedia
Vice-President, Anthropedia Foundation
Denver, USA
sita.kedia@gmail.com

Anthropedia’s Initiative to Promote Person-centered Care by Empowering Individuals and Communities

Dr. Lauren Munsch
President, Anthropedia Foundation
St. Louis, USA
lmunsch@gmail.com
Concepts and Meanings of Person-centered Medicine Session Chair

Prof. George Christodoulou
President, Hellenic Centre for Mental Health and Research
Chair, European Division, Royal College of Psychiatrists
Athens, Greece
gchristodoulou@ath.forthnet.gr

The Person in Medicine

Prof. Eric J. Cassell
Emeritus Professor of Public Health,
Weill Medical College of Cornell University
Adjunct Professor of Medicine, McGill University,
Attending Physician, New York Presbyterian Hospital
New York, USA
eric@ericcassell.com

Identity, Empathy and Engagement in Person-centered Medicine: the Social-cultural Context

Prof. John Cox
World Psychiatric Association Secretary General 2002-2008
Professor of Mental Health, University of Gloucestershire.
Emeritus Professor, Keele University Medical School
Cheltenham, UK
john1.cox@virgin.net

Life Experience and Medicine of the Person

Prof. Bernard Ruedi
Emeritus Professor of Medicine,
Neuchatel University.
Neuchatel, Switzerland
madeleine.ruedi@net2000.ch

Concepts and Meanings of Person-centered Medicine Session Discussant

Prof. Ruben Hernandez
World Association for Sexual Health Past President.
World Psychiatric Association Section on Human Sexuality Past Chair.
Professor of Psychiatry, Central University of Caracas,
Caracas, Venezuela
RH2positivo@yahoo.com
Multilevel Explanations and Diagnosis in Medicine

Prof. Kenneth F. Schaffner  
University Professor of History and Philosophy of Science  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, USA  
kfs@pitt.edu, kfs12@comcast.net

The Person-centered Integrative Diagnosis Model

Prof. Ihsan M. Salloum  
Chair, Section on Classification and Diagnostic Assessment,  
World Psychiatric Association  
Professor of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences,  
University of Miami School of Medicine,  
Miami, Florida, USA  
isalloum@med.miami.edu

Prospects for Person-centered Diagnosis in General Medicine

Prof. Michael S. Klinkman  
Chair, International Classification Committe, World Organization of Family Doctors (Wonca)  
Associate Professor, Departments of Family Medicine & Psychiatry  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, USA.  
mklinkma@med.umich.edu, mklinkma@umich.edu

Person-centered Medicine for Children and Older People Session Chair  
Procedures for Person-centered Diagnosis Session Discussant

Prof. Michel Botbol  
President, WPA French Member Societies Association  
Paris, France  
michel.botbol@wanadoo.fr

Person-centered Integrative Care  
Programmatic Contributions to Person-centered Medicine Panel Chair

Prof. Robert Cloninger  
Professor of Psychiatry, Genetics and Psychology,  
Director, Center for the Science of Wellbeing  
Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, USA  
clon@tci.wustl.edu, crcloninger44@gmail.com
Person-centered Medical Home

Prof. Ted Epperly
Program Director and C.E.O.
Family Medicine Residency of Idaho
Professor of Family and Community Medicine
University of Washington School of Medicine
Seattle, Washington
Boise, Idaho, USA.
Ted.Epperly@FMRIdaho.org

Person-centered Healthiness, Social Determinants & Health Promotion

Dr. Eugenio Villar
Coordinator,
Department of Ethics, Equity, Trade and Human Rights,
Information Evidence and Research,
World Health Organization
villare@who.int

Procedures for Person-centered Treatment & Health Promotion Session Discussant

Prof. Roy A. Kallivayalil
President Elect, Indian Association for Social Psychiatry.
Principal and Professor of Psychiatry,
Cooperative Medical College,
Cochin, India.
roykallivayalil@dataone.in

Person-centered Care at the End of Life: Bridging Aging and Disability

Prof. Luis Salvador-Carulla
Secretary, Section on Classification & Diagnostic Assessment, World
Psychiatric Association
Professor of Psychiatry,
Dept. of Neurosciences, University of Cadiz,
Cadiz, Spain
luis.salvador@telefonica.net

Person-centered Medicine for Children & Older People Session Discussant

Prof. Anne-Françoise Allaz
Department of Medical Rehabilitation and Geriatrics,
University of Geneva
Geneva, Switzerland
Anne-Francoise.Allaz@hcuge.ch
**Person-centered Medicine for Children & Older People Session Discussant**

Dr. Hans-Rudolf Pfeifer  
Psychiatry & Psychotherapy FMH  
Zurich, Switzerland  
H.R.pfeifer@bluewin.ch

---

**Developing Curricula for Person-centered Clinical Care**

Prof. Tom Sensky  
Department of Psychological Medicine  
Imperial College,  
London, UK  
t.sensky@imperial.ac.uk

---

**Training & Research on the Value of Communication for Person-centered Care Outcomes**

Prof. Sandra van Dulmen  
Senior Researcher, Netherlands Institute for Health Services Research  
Co-founder and Permanent Secretary,  
European Association for Communication in Health Care  
Utrecht, The Netherlands  
s.vandulmen@nivel.nl

---

**Research on Person-centered Clinical Care**

Prof. Arnstein Finset  
Department of Behavioral Science,  
Institute of Basic Medical Science,  
University of Oslo,  
Oslo, Norway  
arnefinset@basalmed.uio.no,  
arnefinset@medisin.uio.no

---

**Training & Research on Person-centered Medicine Session Discussant**

Prof. Jitendra K. Trivedi  
Honorary Member of the World Psychiatric Association  
Professor & Head, Dept. of Psychiatry  
C.S.M. Medical University  
Lucknow, India  
jitendra.trivedi@gmail.com
Health Informatics and Personalized Medicine

Dr. Bedirhan Üstün
Coordinator, Classifications, Terminologies and Standards,
Health Statistics and Informatics,
World Health Organization.
Geneva, Switzerland
ustunb@who.int

The Person in Health Care Policy Development

Dr. Jan Wallcraft
Programme Consultant, Shared Vision Project,
UK Department of Health, London, UK
loujan03@yahoo.co.uk, janet.wallcraft@yahoo.co.uk

Person-centered Health Systems & Policies Session Discussant

Prof. Wolfgang Rutz,
Head, Unit for Public Mental Health,
Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden.
Professor of Social Science,
Coburg University, Germany.
wolfgang.rutz@akademiska.se

People-centered Medicine and WHO’s Renewal of Primary Health Care

Dr. Yongyuth Pongsupap
Department for Health Policy
Development and Services
World Health Organization
Geneva, Switzerland
ponsupapy@who.int

Panelist, Programmatic Contributions to Person-centered Medicine

Prof. Antoine Besse
President Elect International Francophone Psychiatric Association
Paris, France
antoine.besse@wanadoo.fr
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Patients’, families’ and carers’ priorities are different in every country and in every disease area, but from this diversity there are some common needs. Health systems in all world regions are under pressure and cannot cope if they continue to focus on diseases rather than patients; they require the involvement of individual patients who adhere to their treatments, make behavioural changes and self-manage.

The International Alliance of Patients’ Organizations (IAPO) supports and encourages the role of patients’ organizations in promoting patient-centred healthcare as an appropriate way to improve health outcomes for patients. Engaging patients and the organizations that represent them – patients’ organizations - in health policy decision-making helps to ensure that policies reflect patient and caregiver needs, preferences and capabilities and provide the most appropriate healthcare.

IAPO’s origins stem from the realisation that patients’ organizations all face some common healthcare issues, regardless of their countries of origin and disease area. In the global context issues of access to treatment, patient safety, patient involvement in health policy, health communication and information are key to patient-centred healthcare.

This presentation will demonstrate how a person-centred approach compliments IAPO’s vision of patient-centred healthcare as outlined in IAPO’s Declaration for Patient-Centred Healthcare and the five principles essential for patient-centred healthcare, which resonate with patients’ organizations globally: 1. Respect for individuals’ unique preferences and needs; 2. Choice and empowerment; 3. Patient involvement in health policy; 4. Access and support; and 5. Information that is accurate and presented in an appropriate way. Bringing people and patients to the centre of health care, with a focus on the whole person, not just the disease, and on the people that deliver healthcare, is necessary to help align healthcare systems with the needs of patients.

IAPO is a patient-led global alliance of 200 organizations. Our full members are patients’ organizations. Together our members represent at least 385 million patients worldwide.

There is growing evidence that patient-centred healthcare promotes greater patient responsibility and optimal usage which ultimately leads to improved health outcomes, quality of life and patient satisfaction (see IAPO (2005) What is Patient-Centred Healthcare?: A Review of Definitions and Principles available online at www.patientsorganizations.org/declaration.

A brief review of historical and conceptual aspirations, involving an encompassing notion of health, constitutes a critical background for a person-centered approach to medicine and health care. On these bases, an expansion of the focus of medicine from disease to patient to person appears compelling. Also stimulating at this stage is the consideration of the ‘ecology of health care’ [2] illustrates empirically the context must be taken into account.

This paper explores the concepts of family medicine to be able to provide evidence-based, high quality, care for patients and communities around the world. The ‘ecology of health care’ [2] illustrates empirically the central position of primary care, as the interface between population and the health care system. Persons/patients present unselected health problems and in order to find the most relevant response, the personal context must be taken into account.

Family practitioners and other primary care providers work within an established partnership with patients over time (continuity of care), and as a consequence encounter over time various illness and diseases in the same individual. This, again, stresses the orientation on patients as individuals, rather than diseases as stand-alone issues. This is, however, by and large experiential knowledge and wisdom, with limited scientific capabilities and provide the most appropriate healthcare.

This paper explores the concepts of family medicine to be able to provide evidence-based, high quality, care for patients and communities around the world. The ‘ecology of health care’ [2] illustrates empirically the central position of primary care, as the interface between population and the health care system. Persons/patients present unselected health problems and in order to find the most relevant response, the personal context must be taken into account.

Family practitioners and other primary care providers work within an established partnership with patients over time (continuity of care), and as a consequence encounter over time various illness and diseases in the same individual. This, again, stresses the orientation on patients as individuals, rather than diseases as stand-alone issues. This is, however, by and large experiential knowledge and wisdom, with limited scientific

---

1. IAPO is a patient-led global alliance of 200 organizations. Our full members are patients’ organizations. Together our members represent at least 385 million patients worldwide.

2. There is growing evidence that patient-centred healthcare promotes greater patient responsibility and optimal usage which ultimately leads to improved health outcomes, quality of life and patient satisfaction (see IAPO (2005) What is Patient-Centred Healthcare?: A Review of Definitions and Principles available online at www.patientsorganizations.org/declaration.

3. Available online at www.patientsorganizations.org/declaration.

---

A brief review of historical and conceptual aspirations, involving an encompassing notion of health, constitutes a critical background for a person-centered approach to medicine and health care. On these bases, an expansion of the focus of medicine from disease to patient to person appears compelling. Also stimulating at this stage is the consideration of the ‘ecology of health care’ [2] illustrates empirically the context must be taken into account.

This paper explores the concepts of family medicine to be able to provide evidence-based, high quality, care for patients and communities around the world. The ‘ecology of health care’ [2] illustrates empirically the central position of primary care, as the interface between population and the health care system. Persons/patients present unselected health problems and in order to find the most relevant response, the personal context must be taken into account.

Family practitioners and other primary care providers work within an established partnership with patients over time (continuity of care), and as a consequence encounter over time various illness and diseases in the same individual. This, again, stresses the orientation on patients as individuals, rather than diseases as stand-alone issues. This is, however, by and large experiential knowledge and wisdom, with limited scientific
basis. Although there is hard evidence that strong primary care improves individuals’ and populations’ health, the mechanisms behind it are poorly understood – a ‘black box’ [3]. To explore this black box, the paradigms of family medicine come into play [4]:

- personal, patient-centred, care
- continuity of care
- care in the context of the family and social environment.

Gaining more insight in person centered medicine will make it possible to further strengthen the evidence base for family medicine, to provide health care at the grass roots of society, responsive to individual needs, based on the two moral principles: that (1) all patients are equal and equally entitled to the best care available; while yet (2) each patient is unique, and should be approached in acknowledgement of their singularity.
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INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL OF NURSES PERSPECTIVES ON PERSON-CENTRED CARE
Tesfamicael Ghebrehiwet, RN, PhD
Consultant, Nursing and Health Policy, International Council of Nurses

ICN’s commitment to person-centred care is embodied in its policy statements, guidelines and the ICN Code of Ethics for Nurses which affirm respect for human rights, including the right to life, dignity and to be treated with respect as inherent to nursing. ICN’s policy statements, and ICN’s Framework of Competencies for the Generalist Nurse outline key concepts in person-centred care. A recent ICN initiative – the Patient Talk – provides a good example of person-centred approach to information, education and empowerment.

ICN locates person centred care within the larger context of family and community in a dynamic and interactive state. Person centred care is broad in scope and requiring a set of skills of nurses and other members of the health team. Key components of person-centred care include:

- Identifying and respecting the person’s preferences, values, and differences and expressed needs.
- Coordinating continuously and timely care.
- Relieving pain and suffering.

ICN’s Framework of Competencies for the Generalist Nurse outline key concepts in person-centred care. A recent ICN initiative – the Patient Talk – provides a good example of person-centred approach to information, education and empowerment.

Person-centred care improves care outcomes including satisfaction with care and adherence to therapeutic regimens. Person-centred care strengthens the role of patients and their families and supports them to become experts in their own care and needs. In person-centred care, people shift from passive recipients of care to active participants and decision-makers in matters affecting their health and wellbeing.

References

SESSION 2 Special Initiatives Relevant to Person-centered Care

S 2.2

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAL COLLEGES (IAOMC) PERSON-CENTERED PERSPECTIVES ON DISTANCE LEARNING.
Prof. James Appleyard
Hon Secretary to the IAOMC Board

Computer based learning (CBL) is well established in medical education. Distance learning (DL) has developed into a useful tool to teach medical students and is commonly used in medical schools with multiple campuses.

The goal of the International Association of Medical College (IAOMC) founded in 2005 is to serve society by enhancing the quality of undergraduate medical education worldwide. It is committed to peer evaluation of the education provided by individual medical schools anywhere in the world in a transparent way and available to the public to inspire confidence in the integrity of the findings.

The IAOMC’s first task was to develop global accreditation standards, building on The World Federation for Medical Education’s recommended International Standards for medical education and the Liaison Committee on Medical Education of the American Medical Association and the Association Of American Medical Colleges. (www.iaomc.org)

Medical practice is by definition a vocation whose core element is work based upon the mastery of a complex body of knowledge and skills and whose members ‘profess’ a commitment to competence, integrity, morality, altruism and the promotion of the public good within their domain.

The study of medicine thus requires the assimilation of the core professional values that underpin the moral standards of physicians and the acquisition of the skills to implement those values in clinical practice. These standards are based on the Hippocratic tradition of medical ethics placing the individual person in the centre of the physician’s concerns. This ethical framework should inform all clinical decisions throughout each physician’s career and must remain the essential core component of any medical curriculum.

With this person based ethical structure in mind, a sub-committee of the IAOMC’s Advisory Council has reviewed studies on computer based learning (CBL) and distance learning with a view to incorporating new standards into the IAOMC’s accreditation process.

Some of the advantages and disadvantages of the impact of Distance Learning on the core standards of educating physicians to embrace an ethical framework built on the best interest of each individual person were considered and will be discussed. The Sub Committee has concluded as an ‘interim’ report that

- Distance learning (DL) has developed into a useful tool to teach medical students and is commonly used in medical schools with multiple campuses.
+ Some core component parts of medical education require skills and hands on experience (the traditional clinical method) that cannot be provided by distance learning
+ Patient contact is irreplaceable. i.e. practical learning about the needs of each individual patient) Distance learning may help to a limited degree as a supplement
+ A blend of distance learning along with traditional methods is usually the most efficacious
+ Faculty oversight of students is essential for them to master a common foundation of knowledge, skills, attitudes and values to include altruism and response to duty (i.e. the practical reinforcement of shared professional values)

S 2.3

BRINGING TOGETHER VALUES-BASED AND EVIDENCE-BASED MEDICINE: UK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INITIATIVES IN THE ‘PERSONALISATION’ OF CARE
Prof. Bill (KWM) Fulford
Fellow of St Cross College, University of Oxford, and Professor of Philosophy and Mental Health, University of Warwick
Paper presented by: Dr. Jan Wallcraft

Values-based practice is a new skills-based approach to balanced healthcare decision-making where complex and conflicting values are involved. Together with evidence-based practice, values-based practice has been the basis of a number of training and policy initiatives in mental health from the UK government’s Department of Health in London. This paper outlines some of these initiatives and indicates the challenges in developing similar values-based approaches in other areas of medicine. Combining values-based with evidence-based practice could make a key contribution to developing a medicine for the 21st century that is not only science-based but also patient-centered.

S 2.4

EUROPEAN PREVENTION MANUAL PROJECT (IMHPA)
Dr. Evelyn. M van Weel-Baumgarten, Department of Primary and Community Care, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, The Netherlands

Background

In primary care many patients present with emotional symptoms. Even though the prevalences of depressive and anxiety disorders are high, interventions to prevent mental disorders are not usually used regularly in this setting. In a European Commission funded project a specialised training manual was developed with interventions aiming at prevention of mental illness in patients at risk.

Manual

The manual contains a two-day program, in English, to train primary health care professionals in several techniques for prevention of depression and anxiety. There is also a focus on patient centered communication skills. Patient empowerment is a key issue in Brief Problem Solving (BPS), the intervention aiming at prevention of depressive illness. This intervention is trained in a way it can be managed within a 10 – 15 minute appointment system. Other interventions in the manual are education and reassurance, reattribution, simple exposure and managing insomnia. The materials were tested in 2 successive pilots for general practitioners in Europe: The Netherlands and Slovenia.

Conclusions: The training programme is considered relevant, but the program should be adjusted to individual needs of the various European countries. Translations and training in one’s own language will have to ensure that all health care professionals benefit optimally from the content. Small changes will also have to be made to adapt the manual to the cultural setting of the countries who want to use it in the future. Although BPS is derived from the effective intervention Problem Solving Treatment, future research should test the efficacy of all person centered interventions in the manual to find out if they prevent emotional symptoms developing into disorders in the primary care setting.

S 2.6

EUROPEAN FEDERATION OF ASSOCIATIONS OF FAMILIES OF PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS (EUFAMI) INITIATIVES ON PERSON CENTERED CARE
Sigrid Steffen, President, EUFAMI

There is a definite need for a new care environment – away from the conventional long term hospital model to a more community and mobile care centred model, which includes psychiatric departments in regional hospitals instead of large psychiatric clinics in crisis situations. In addition, there is a need for a more total holistic encompassing all aspects of the patient’s health. With concepts like Empowerment and Recovery new responsibilities and roles arise, for clinicians, users, cares and public policy. This implicates new requirements to the abilities and possibilities of these affected parties.

EUFAMI is leading the campaign to ensure that families are listened to and that the large knowledge base which families has built up from years and years of ‘on the spot’ experience of living with mental illness is shared by all of the care team; hence the need to have families officially as an integral part of any care team.

Also there is a need for a review of how professional staff are trained in order to include ‘family training’ on the official training curriculum. EUFAMI would contend that a seed change in training and training
A brief description of what is a person is followed by a brief description of what sickness is. It is apparent that virtually every aspect of the person is altered to some degree by serious illness. It is a circumstance of the person's body, mind, and social context. Thus, we started building the personcenteredmedicine.org site. The process included consultation with several outstanding colleagues who are fully involved in this matter, including WMA and Wonca leaders, who play an important role in these Conferences. The resulting site was publicly posted on the Internet in mid April, just in time to publicize the Second Geneva Conference which is now taking place. The site will grow as the program on Person-centered Medicine develops, giving it wide visibility. We plan further initiatives to follow, such as intranet communication to support the efforts of our various workgroups; communication with external related organizations and programs; internet-based surveys; development of an electronic journal on person-centered medicine; public education and information. All the institutions involved in such development are invited to actively participate in it. We expect this to result in the development of an international entity, with an informationally and interactively rich internet platform, to move forward our initiatives on person-centered medicine.

INTERNET-BASED INITIATIVES FOR PERSON-CENTERED MEDICINE
Prof. R. Montenegro (Buenos Aires)

Once the 2008 First Geneva Conference on Person-centered Medicine was closed, we thought it was necessary to have a site in which the richness of the topic, the discussions held and the material presented could be displayed. Besides the publication of the Conference papers and editorials in various international journals, and the organization of symposia on Person-centered Medicine at major events in international forums, it was only natural for us to think of the Internet as the best place to lodge an easily accessible meeting point for all those interested in this topic.

Thus, we started building the personcenteredmedicine.org site. The process included consultation with several outstanding colleagues who are fully involved in this matter, including WMA and Wonca leaders, who play an important role in these Conferences. The resulting site was publicly posted on the Internet in mid April, just in time to publicize the Second Geneva Conference which is now taking place. The site will grow as the program on Person-centered Medicine develops, giving it wide visibility. We plan further initiatives to follow, such as intranet communication to support the efforts of our various workgroups; communication with external related organizations and programs; internet-based surveys; development of an electronic journal on person-centered medicine; public education and information. All the institutions involved in such development are invited to actively participate in it. We expect this to result in the development of an international entity, with an informationally and interactively rich internet platform, to move forward our initiatives on person-centered medicine.

ANTHROPEDEA’S INITIATIVE TO PROMOTE PERSON-CENTERED CARE BY EMPOWERING INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES
Dr. S. Kedia (Denver, USA) and Dr. L. Munsch (St. Louis, USA)

The Anthropedia Foundation (APF) is dedicated to empowering communities and individuals of all ages to reach their fullest potential for health and well-being. APF recognizes that existing biomedical approaches to illness prevention and treatment often fail to address the complex relationships between a person's body, mind, and social context. Additionally, the current healthcare system provides little opportunity for people to receive the attention, personalized care, health education resources, lifestyle counseling, and support necessary to foster long-term health and happiness. APF goes beyond the modern medical practice of focusing on illness and aims to prevent disease and promote health by advancing a comprehensive integrative approach to healthcare that encourages health professionals to consider and care for the whole person (body, mind, and psyche) within their social context. APF's Initiative to promote Person Centered Care will be accomplished in four ways: (1) by advancing research and professional awareness on how to help individuals improve their lifestyles and grow in self-awareness and character development (via conferences and research); (2) by developing and providing multi-media courses in well-being that individuals can use on their own; (3) by developing and providing evaluation tools for professionals and individuals to become aware of a person's own temperament and character, emotional tendencies, and higher cognitive processes; (4) by distributing, to health care providers, educational resources to promote health and well-being in their practice. Increasing the accessibility (for patients, professionals, and communities) of educational resources that teach ways to develop and sustain health and happiness will help individuals to reach their personal capacity for well-being.

SESSION 3 Concepts and Meanings of Person-centered Medicine

THE PERSON IN MEDICINE
Prof. E. Cassell (New York)

A better and easier life for all concerned – the ill person will feel more involved and take ownership; the medical profession will feel more relaxed and life will be easier as there will be more dialogue; the family member will feel valued and a reduction in isolation.

Finally, there is a critical need for information to help understand the illness, support and education to help develop one's coping skills, to name just a few issues. Also, a very negative result of someone being diagnosed as suffering with a mental illness is the onset of feelings of stigmatization, discrimination and isolation.
This paper is partially derived from two recent reports relevant to understanding the changing sociocultural context of Person-Centred Medicine: ‘A Good Childhood: searching for values in a competitive age’ (Layard and Dunn 2009) and ‘Guidelines on spirituality for staff in acute care services’ (2009). Compassionate empathy and a sense of self are core requirements for engagement in person-centred medicine. An understanding of continental philosophers (Levinas, Merleau-Ponty) can also assist to develop a more adequate conceptual basis.

The paper will conclude with an appraisal of the Bio-psychosocial model (Engel 1977), and will suggest that this model is re-fashioned for a contemporary multi-ethnic society. It is proposed that a Bio-social / psycho-spiritual (BSPS) approach to a person-centred and relationship-based medicine might be considered.
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S 3.2
IDENTITY, EMPATHY AND ENGAGEMENT IN PERSON CENTRED MEDICINE: THE SOCIOCULTURAL CONTEXT.
John L. Cox. Professor of Mental Health. University of Gloucestershire; Professor Emeritus University of Keele, UK.

LIFE EXPERIENCE AND MEDICINE OF THE PERSON.
Prof. B. Rüedi, M.D.

Medicine of the person, as proposed by Paul Tournier, attempts to offer the patient the best’s available therapeutic means, in a personal therapeutic relationship. Such attitude may require a sharing of life experiences between the patient and his doctor. The indispensable requirements are time, limited by its cost, and a climate of reciprocal confidence, unfortunately often replaced today by a climate of reciprocal defiance.

The life experience is perceived by the person like the meaning given to his identity, empathy and engagement in person-centred medicine. The sociocultural context of Person-Centred Medicine: ‘A Good Childhood: searching for values in a competitive age’ (Layard and Dunn 2009) and ‘Guidelines on spirituality for staff in acute care services’ (2009). Compassionate empathy and a sense of self are core requirements for engagement in person-centred medicine. An understanding of continental philosophers (Levinas, Merleau-Ponty) can also assist to develop a more adequate conceptual basis.

The paper will conclude with an appraisal of the Bio-psychosocial model (Engel 1977), and will suggest that this model is re-fashioned for a contemporary multi-ethnic society. It is proposed that a Bio-social / psycho-spiritual (BSPS) approach to a person-centred and relationship-based medicine might be considered.
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SESSION 4
Procedures for Person-centered Diagnosis

S 4.1
MULTILEVEL EXPLANATIONS AND DIAGNOSIS IN MEDICINE:
Prof. Kenneth F. Schaffner, M.D., Ph.D. (Pittsburgh, USA)

This presentation outlines an account of diagnosis as a multilevel search for multilevel medical and psychiatric explanations. The talk starts with a brief summary of the PID generalized concept of diagnosis [1], and then describes the first stage of data collection and problem formulation in the diagnostic process. I stress significant roles for values at this very beginning of the diagnostic process in identifying what is relevantly abnormal, and thus what falls in the purview of the diagnostic process – therefore, ultimately, what will need to be treated. The structure of the diagnostic process discussed in the remaining parts of the talk draws on analyses and empirical studies done in the logic [2-3] and psychology of clinical reasoning [4-6] over the past forty years, and identifies several lessons learned from these accounts. One of these lessons is the importance of creating multiple prototypical “illness scripts” as well as more detailed “exemplars” (medical and/or psychiatric cases) – but scripts and exemplars which encompass all levels of PID content. I propose that a series of such scripts and exemplars be developed by several PID subcommittees, and then be made available for further general discussion and clinical teaching.


S 4.2
THE PERSON-CENTERED INTEGRATIVE DIAGNOSIS MODEL
Ihsan M. Salloum, M.D., M.P.H. (University of Miami, USA)

As diagnosis is a critical first step for clinical care, it was early recognized that an appropriate diagnostic model was necessary as informational basis for person-centered clinical care. The design of a Person-centered Integrative Diagnosis (PID) model was based on literature reviews and workshops in London, Paris, Geneva, Preston, U.K., and Uppsala, Sweden over the past two years.

The current PID model covers both ill-health and positive health through the following three levels: Health Status (from illness to recovery/disorderliness and from disabilities to adaptive functioning), Experience of Health (cultural factors and values concerning ill health and positive health), and Contributory Factors (including internal and external risk and protective factors). Each of these domains will be evaluated with the interactive evaluation process. Specific attention is paid to evaluators (clinicians, patient, family and other carers) and the interactive evaluation process.
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S 4.3

PROSPECTS FOR PERSON-CENTERED DIAGNOSIS IN GENERAL MEDICINE

Prof. M. Klinkman, MD.MS (Ann Arbor, USA)

Everywhere in the world, from highly developed health care delivery systems to developing regions, primary health care has same purpose – to meet the needs of persons living in the community. This is by nature a person-oriented task. This discussion will focus on prospects for person-centered diagnosis and treatment in the general medicine setting.

Primary care currently operates under major time and resource constraints, and the range and complexity of tasks continues to increase. Clinicians often follow a “satisfying” strategy to cope with the workload, and employ person-centered care in an informal and/or inconsistent way. Several examples from my own practice will highlight the difficulties of maintaining a person-centered approach in everyday practice.

Advances in health information technology and the rediscovery and promotion of the concept of the Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH) in the US provide a tremendous opportunity to integrate person-centered care into routine primary care practice. Some foundational work has already been accomplished. However, there are four key areas in which much more work is needed:

1. development of a patient-centered “primary care data model”
2. identification of the key domains and data elements of the “patient side” of care
3. collaboration with EHR – and PHR - vendors to implement standards
4. thinking far beyond the clinical encounter as the unit of health care delivery

If we can develop and articulate a simple and robust approach to person-centered care, we will be able to integrate it into the expanded model of primary health care delivery represented by the PCMH. We must find a way to bring the patient’s own voice into our work.

S 4.4

PERSON-CENTERED DIAGNOSIS

Session Discussant - Sigrid Steffen, President of EUFAMI

Sigrid will discuss a number of aspects that arise as a consequence of an individual’s diagnosis and how such a diagnosis affects the wider family unit.

From the family perspective, the onset of any mental illness is very traumatic and frightening. Consequently a sense of relief prevails when a diagnosis is made. But soon the realisation of the longer term effects and changes becomes clear. A lifetime sentence looms for many.

There is a critical need for information to help understand the illness, support and education to help develop one’s coping skills, to name just a few issues Sigrid will deal with.

It is important to understand that the overall life situation has to be incorporated in the diagnoses and, additionally to medication the persons’ positive skills or abilities should be strengthened from the beginning of the treatment process. This will help the patients to find new perspectives for their future lives.

SESSION 5  Panel on Programmatic Contributions to Person-centered Medicine

S 5.1

WORLD FEDERATION FOR MENTAL HEALTH

J. Copeland, WFMH President

The World Federation for Mental Health has a mission to promote mental health awareness, prevention, advocacy and best practice recovery worldwide. With organizational members in over 100 countries and consultative status with the United Nations there is major interest in the welfare of consumers and their advice should be at the centre of all health care. The tendency for services under pressure to be orientated around health workers instead of consumers must be reversed. However, many areas of the world and some specialized services e.g. for disaster victims, have little mental health provision of any kind. WFMH is therefore keen to support consumer participation in both development and administration of services.

S 5.2

WORLD ASSOCIATION FOR SEXUAL HEALTH: SEXUAL HEALTH FOR THE MILLENNIUM

Ruben Hernandez, Past-President World Association for Sexual Health

Until recently and due to WAS work, University Departments, and AIDS Pandemia, global increase in sexual violence, cyber sexophilia, new discoveries on medications to treat sexual problems, overcoming stigma and myths about human sexuality, increase in media covering of sexual issues, conferences, congresses, books, papers, new advances in measuring human sexual response and many other factors the importance of SEXUAL HEALTH has been on the table for Quality of Life Issues.


We will present a brief summary of the main issues looking at the future, following the most recently Document WAS-WHO Sexual Health for the Millennium. The Person Centered Medicine Program is advancing coherently with these world wide documents.
The International Association of Medical Colleges’ goal is to enhance the quality of undergraduate medical education by developing shared global accreditation standards. Central to these is the Hippocratic tradition of medical ethics which places the individual person in the centre of the physician’s concerns and provides the framework for the assimilation of the core professional values that should underpin the moral standards of physicians worldwide. It is committed to peer evaluation of the education provided by individual medical schools worldwide in a transparent way and available to the public to inspire confidence in the integrity of the findings.

In her contribution, as a discussant, at the Panel Discussion Sigrid will briefly summarise the three main initiatives which are being promoted and tried by EUFAMI members in an effort to change the deliver of care from a symptom based one to a person centred methodology. It is EUFAMI’s strong belief that if these three initiatives are embraced by the wider medical professional community, then a lot will have been achieved in pursuit of a new care model.

Paul Tournier Association will contribute by networking and providing resources, deepening and widening reflection and furthering the practical application of a person-centered medicine. The Association was founded in 1998 and works in close cooperation with the International Group Medicine of the Person.

As a member of the « International Group of Medicine of the Whole Person », I would like to share my present, primary concern about the future of medicine.

What kind of man is our society already programming with its biotechnologies? Will he still be a person, able to share enriching life experiences in true personal dialogues? Or will he become a highly performing organism, reaching for ever more life experiences, with the expectation to enlarge constantly the biological limits of his life?

I am worried about how frightfully naive and unconscious we are concerning our present responsibilities.

The national associations in our region are deeply interested in the person-centered medicine project, so activities about this topic are constantly taking place at our congresses.

There were similar activities in Argentina, Bolivia, Peru, Venezuela, etc. in 2007, and this also happened during the XXVI Brazilian Congress of Psychiatry (Brasilia, Brazil, October 2008), where I personally participated of various activities. Prof Mezzich held a symposium on "New International Systems of Diagnosis: ICD-11 and Integral Person-centered Diagnosis" in Mar del Plata, Argentina, last April 2009, during the Association of Argentine Psychiatrists annual congress. Next July I will attend the 10th National Psychiatric Congress in Cochabamba, Bolivia, with the central theme: “Towards the Promotion of Mental Health at a time of Social Change”, just to mention a few.

Among the potential contributions of APAL to Person-centered care is the GLADP-2 in preparation by APAL, which plans to use a personalized diagnostic model.

APAL website regularly gives visibility to the person-centered medicine project. www.apalweb.org
S 5.8

SOUTH ASIAN ASSOCIATION FOR REGIONAL COOPERATION
Prof. Roy Abraham Kallivayalil,
Founding Secretary General SAARC Federation

SAARC (South Asian Association for Regional Co-operation) includes seven countries: India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Bhutan and Maldives representing 1.5 billion people, about ¼ of humanity. Most people share common heritage and culture and their problems too are nearly similar. Person Centered Medicine has special relevance here because women, children, elderly, the refugees and displaced persons are especially vulnerable. The region can contribute by participating in person-centered diagnostic developments, preparing educational curricula for person-centered clinical care and emphasizing on integrating mental health care with general health care.

S 5.9

EASTERN EUROPEAN PSYCHIATRY: ITS RELEVANCE TO THE PERSON-CENTERED APPROACH
Professor George Christodoulou
President, Psychiatric Association for Eastern Europe and the Balkans

The Psychiatric Association for Eastern Europe and the Balkans (www.paeeb.com) was established in March 2005 in Athens following the Craiova Declaration of December 2004 and the decision to promote its evolution from a WPA Institutional Program to an independent WPA – affiliated Association.

Eastern European Psychiatry in the recent past reflected the prevailing political and socio-economic conditions in the countries of the region. In the majority of Eastern European countries, individualized and personified psychiatry was not encouraged. For example, psychotherapy, a highly personified treatment method, especially that of psychodynamic direction, was practically abandoned and the person has hardly been in the focus of attention of mental health professionals.

Things are changing, however, and the leadership of the Association feels that emphasis on the person and to person-linked concepts like recovery, resilience and positive health will contribute a lot to a change in the provision of not only psychiatric but also medical services in the region of Eastern Europe.

S 5.10

HELENIC MEDICAL SOCIETY OF NEW YORK
George John Tsioulias, MD, PhD, FACS
President, Hellenic Medical Society of New York

Hellenic Medical Society of New York address to the participants of the Second Geneva Conference on Person-Centered Medicine:
Esteemed Colleagues:

We salute and fully support your noble initiative to bring the human being as a person back to the center of modern medicine. Assembly-line health care and overregulation have created an impersonal and detached way of practicing medicine that degrades the human existence and trivializes our profession. Our Society, faithful to the anthropocentric Hippocratic oath, the cornerstone of Greek Medicine, Ancient and Modern, will join in your efforts to revive the person-centered medicine. We wish you all success in your endeavors and looking forward to future collaboration.

With our kindest regards,

George John Tsioulias
President, Hellenic Medical Society of New York

S 5.11

FRENCH PERSPECTIVE ON PSYCHIATRY FOR THE PERSON
Dr. Michel Botbol
President of the Association of WPA French Member Societies

In the 50s, French psychiatry has been very much involved in the creation and development of International bodies to represent World Psychiatry. This important move was successful in many aspects but had two related side effects: division of French psychiatry’s representation in these bodies weakening the overall influence of the French perspective on Psychiatry, and as a consequence, a drastic reduction of French psychiatrists’ involvement in international psychiatry. The three main French Societies created recently the Association of WPA French member societies to overcome these weaknesses with the objective to give more visibility to the French psychiatric model. This model is based on two main specificities: the space it gives to psychodynamic psychotherapy in private and public practice, the strength of the “secteur” model in the organization of psychiatric public services. It is based on a strong focus on clinical practice stressing the importance of patient-therapist relation rather than disorders only. As a consequence, French Psychiatry tend to need a higher number of psychiatrists and to discredit reductionist approaches adopted in the more reductionist conceptions of EBM. Psychiatry for the person movement was then seen as the long waited for opportunity to find international support to this clinical perspective. They find in this important move a reason to reinvest the international bodies where they thought this perspective has been too radically absent for the last twenty years. In line with this perspective, French psychiatry is particularly involved on three axes: Classification with the Global Network, “Practice based” studies, and promotion of psychiatry for the person’s conceptual and practical thinking through publication and congresses.

S 5.12

PERSPECTIVES AND POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE INTERNATIONAL FRANCOPHONE PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION AND THE FRENCH ASSOCIATION OF PRIVATE PRACTICE PSYCHIATRISTS
Dr. Antoine Besse
President Elect, International Francophone Psychiatric Association
The practice of person-centered medical care requires physicians to procedures that are appropriate in treatment and health promotion. Once the nature of the therapeutic doctor-patient relationship, and the types of adviser to assist people in their quest to live well.

As a result of their capacity for self-awareness and self-actualization, transcendent (Cloninger 2004). Each human being has an intrinsic dignity. Only when they are self-directed, cooperative, and self-transcendent (Cloninger 2004). Each human being has an intrinsic dignity as a result of their capacity for self-awareness and self-actualization. Consequently the person-centered physician becomes a consultant and adviser to assist people in their quest to live well.

What procedures can the person-centered physician apply that are practical and effective? First, the physician must understand the personality of the individual including their emotional style, their goals and values, their strengths and weaknesses physically, mentally, socially, and spiritually. Second, there must be a therapeutic alliance in the sense of a relationship of mutual trust and respect in which the physician and patient agree to work together toward common goals. Third, physicians need to communicate in a reassuring and hopeful manner. Fourth, once a person is calm, they can face and accept unpleasant facts, such as information about a possible disease or abnormal test results. Fifth, it is empowering for a person to take responsibility and act in ways that are purposeful and resourceful to improve their lifestyle to promote health.

These procedures embody the three key elements of effective person-centered psychotherapy: (1) respect or unconditional positive regard, (2) empathy, and (3) genuineness (Rogers 1980). They also correspond to the three general practices for developing well-being: (1) Letting go, which fosters self-directedness, hope, and respect, (2) Working in the service of others, which fosters cooperativeness, compassion, and empathy, and (3) Awareness, which fosters genuineness or authenticity (Cloninger 2004). Given the frequency of stress-related complaints in medicine, it is likely that the practices of well-being are broadly applicable in medicine.


### SESSION 6 Procedures for Person-centered Treatment and Health Promotion

#### S 6.1 PERSON CENTERED INTEGRATIVE CARE

C. Robert Cloninger, MD

The practice of person-centered medical care requires physicians to examine basic questions about the scope of medical responsibility, the nature of the therapeutic doctor-patient relationship, and the types of procedures that are appropriate in treatment and health promotion. Once well-being is recognized as an integral part of health care, then health promotion must address the whole art and science of living well. Human beings only flourish when they are self-directed, cooperative, and self-transcendent (Cloninger 2004). Each human being has an intrinsic dignity as a result of their capacity for self-awareness and self-actualization. Consequently the person-centered physician becomes a consultant and adviser to assist people in their quest to live well.

What procedures can the person-centered physician apply that are practical and effective? First, the physician must understand the personality of the individual including their emotional style, their goals and values, their strengths and weaknesses physically, mentally, socially, and spiritually. Second, there must be a therapeutic alliance in the sense of a relationship of mutual trust and respect in which the physician and patient agree to work together toward common goals. Third, physicians need to communicate in a reassuring and hopeful manner. Fourth, once a person is calm, they can face and accept unpleasant facts, such as information about a possible disease or abnormal test results. Fifth, it is empowering for a person to take responsibility and act in ways that are purposeful and resourceful to improve their lifestyle to promote health.

These procedures embody the three key elements of effective person-centered psychotherapy: (1) respect or unconditional positive regard, (2) empathy, and (3) genuineness (Rogers 1980). They also correspond to the three general practices for developing well-being: (1) Letting go, which fosters self-directedness, hope, and respect, (2) Working in the service of others, which fosters cooperativeness, compassion, and empathy, and (3) Awareness, which fosters genuineness or authenticity (Cloninger 2004). Given the frequency of stress-related complaints in medicine, it is likely that the practices of well-being are broadly applicable in medicine.


#### S 6.2 THE PERSON CENTERED MEDICAL HOME IN THE INFORMATION AGE IN THE UNITED STATES

Ted Epperly, M.D.

Program Director and C.E.O.

Family Medicine Residency of Idaho – Boise, Idaho

1. The Future of Family Medicine charge is to, “develop a strategy to transform and renew the specialty of family medicine to meet the needs of people and society in a changing environment.”
2. The new model of family medicine incorporates a personal medical home with patient-centered care, team approach, elimination of barriers to access, advanced information systems, redesigned offices, whole-person orientation, care provided within a community context, emphasis on quality and safety, enhanced practice finance, and commitment to provide family medicine’s basket of services.
3. The Patient Centered Medical Home involves both a place and process of care.
4. The Patient Centered Medical Home is about practice designation. It’s not about person designation. This designation is done by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA).
5. The seven joint principles of the Patient Centered Medical Home are: 1) each patient has an ongoing relationship with a personal physician; 2) a physician directed medical practice; 3) whole person orientation; 4) care is coordinated and integrated across all elements of the complex health care system and in the patient’s community; 5) quality and safety; 6) enhanced access to care is available through systems such as open scheduling, expanded hours and new options for communication between patients, their personal physician, and practice staff; and 7) payment reform.
6. Three useful websites to find out more about Patient Centered Medical Homes are: TransformMED (www.transformmed.com); NCQA (www.ncqa.org); and AAFP (www.aafp.org).
7. The current amount of money spent in the United States per year on health care is 12.3 trillion, which is 16% of the nation’s gross domestic product (GDP).
8. America’s current health care rankings are: number one in health care dollars spent per capita; number one in health care technology dollars spent per capita; number one in research dollars spent per capita; number 20 in health care outcomes (e.g., life expectancy, infant mortality, and immunizations); number 37 for our health care system’s efficiency and effectiveness; and number 54 for being a fair, just, and equitable system.
9. Despite the money spent on health care in our country the United States has one of the worst primary care infrastructures in the world.

10. The value of family medicine is continuity, comprehensiveness, coordination, and integration of care.

S 6.3 PERSON-CENTERED HEALTHINESS, SOCIAL DETERMINANTS AND HEALTH PROMOTION
Dr. Eugenio Villar
Coordinator
Department of Ethics, Equity, Trade and Human Rights (ETH), Information Evidence and Research (IER), WHO

The approaches and concepts of Person-centered medicine (PCM) are analyzed through the lenses of the recent developments on the social determinants of health (SDH) following the publication of the Report of the Commission of Social Determinants of Health in August 2008. Several dimensions are addressed including the possible contributions a SDH approach could offer in explaining the complex determination social, economic and ideological/political factors contributing to health and disease and consequently how PCM can broaden its already holistic approach both in terms of diagnosis and treatment. Within this framework health promotion, as conceived in the Bangkok Conference, will be discussed around the PCM approach. In order to ensure a coherent and comprehensive analysis, reference is also made on the relationship between PCM and the Primary Health Care strategy (as renewed in the World Health report 2008), especially on the reforms referring to public policies for the public’s health (which is instrumental to the implementation of the SDH approach) and putting people’s first.

SESSION 7 Person-centered Medicine for Children and Older People

S 7.1 PERSON CENTRED PEDIATRIC CARE
Prof. James Appleyard
IAOMC Secretary General

Each child is a unique individual - mentally, physically, intellectually emotionally and spiritually – as he or she grows and develops toward adulthood.

Different physiological, psychological and pathogenic features occur at the different ages from the premature newborn infant through adolescence.

To reach their potential, children need to be respected as developing ‘persons’ who require

- a safe and secure environment;
- the opportunity for optimal growth, development; and education
- access to preventive and curative health services
- opportunities for continual improvement into the future from evidence-based research

This paper will explore some of the issues raised by this person centered approach both globally and individually as members of families and local communities

S 7.2 PERSON CENTERED MEDICINE FOR OLDER PEOPLE
Dr. Jon Snaedal
Geriatrician
Immediate Past President of the WMA

The geriatric patient is distinctive from younger patients on several accounts. The geriatric patient commonly has multiple health problems and he generally is treated for most of them creating the problem of polypharmacy. Furthermore, the symptomatology of the diseases that occur in the geriatric patient are more vague than in younger patients and sometimes misleading. Last but not least, the person behind the symptomatology is more unique than in other age groups as his/her life style and former diseases make each patient more special than is the case in younger patients.

The means by which the geriatric patient is treated by the geriatrician is classically by team work. The doctor is typically the leader of the team but other health professionals have their own responsibility. To treat the geriatric patient successfully the team needs to know the background of the patient, not only his social status and his circumstances but also his life history and personality. The successful treatment also depends on the patient’s family and the dynamics inside the family. Even in the face of dementia, all these facts matter but in these cases the information must to great extent be given by the next of kin. In all but the most severe cases of dementia, the personal preferences of the patient must be the main focus of treatment even though that is very often not the case in reality. This fact is one of the many challenges that are facing those that care for older patients.

SESSION 8 Training and Research on Person-centered Medicine

S 8.1 DEVELOPING A CURRICULUM FOR PERSON-CENTRED CLINICAL CARE
Prof. Tom Sensky
Professor of Psychological Medicine, Imperial College London
Progress will be reported on the development of a core curriculum for person-centred clinical care. The curriculum is being developed iteratively by a group of clinicians, from a variety of cultural and clinical backgrounds. The conceptual basis for the curriculum is the framework for person-centred clinical care developed to date by the WPA Institutional Programme on Psychiatry for the Person. Currently, four key domains have been identified (1) clinical expertise/clinical decision-making, (2) communication, (3) collaboration and partnership, and (4) professional responsibility and standing. Twelve themes have been identified within these key domains, and relevant key knowledge proposed within each of these themes. Examples will be offered of the themes and their proposed contents.

S 8.2

ASSESSMENT OF PERSON CENTERED MEDICINE AND PERSON CENTERED CLINICAL METHOD TEACHING
IN THE AMBROSIANA UNIVERSITY MILAN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Prof. Giuseppe R. Brera
Rector, Ambrosiana University;
Director, The Milan School of Medicine and the European School of Medicine

Person-Centered Medicine and PCCM are born as a new paradigm for Medical Science from a criticism to the imperialism of a bio-molecular and technological paradigm confuted by interactionism evidenced by base experimental science and teleonomy of human nature revealed by research in Medical Psychology, Psychology and humanities.

Person Centered Medicine teaching structured procedures were introduced into physicians’ educational curricula in the Ambrosiana University Academic Year 1998-1999 after the formal presentation of Person Centered Medicine in the 1998-1999 academic opening and the publication in the same year of “Person centered Medicine paradigm”, where the epistemological principles of PCM have been determined. The teaching procedures were partially inspired to the previous and contemporary teaching procedures of Medical Counselling, the precursor of Person-Centered Medicine, born in 1991 and developed in the period 1991-1998.

Educational structures of courses, the learning objectives in Milan School of Medicine courses in MCP and MCCP and Person Centered Clinical Method teaching method and a pilot study on its results will be presented.

S 8.3

RESEARCH ON THE VALUE OF COMMUNICATION FOR PERSON-CENTERED CARE OUTCOMES

Sandra van Dulmen, PhD.
Netherlands Institute for Health Services Research, Utrecht, the Netherlands

When entering a consulting room a person becomes a patient with double needs, i.e. the need to feel known and understood and the need to know and understand, also referred to as affective and instrumental needs, respectively. The fulfilment of these needs highly depends on the communication skills of both doctor and patient which help to bridge the inherent distance that exists between these two persons. There is ample evidence that this bridge becomes stronger the more the communication is tailored to the person behind the patient. Research shows that this so called tailored communication contributes to patient outcome known to be crucial for recovery and quality of life, i.e. recall, medication compliance, self-management, reassurance and need fulfilment. Being a natural skill, communication is at the same time easy and difficult to adjust to circumstances and patient characteristics. Easy because communication is a tool that is generally always present, difficult because most persons usually do not communicate in a conscious way. My presentation aims to provide evidence for the value of tailored communication for patient outcome as well as give a case example of how to communicate in a purposeful way while at the same time respecting patients’ values and feelings.

S 8.4

RESEARCH ON PERSON CENTRED CLINICAL CARE

Arnstein Finset, PhD
Dept. of Behavioural Science, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo

A number of different terms have been applied to characterize person centred clinical care, such as the patient perspective, and patient centred as well as narrative medicine. Different ways to operationalize person centred care in empirical studies will be reviewed, including health provider exploration of patients’ cues and concerns and empathic responses to emotional needs, the ability to establish common ground and a trusting relationship, and a positive approach emphasizing the effect of illness on patients’ life and health promotion. A number of studies have documented significant associations between some of these aspects of person centred clinical care and different outcome variables, such as patient satisfaction, patient adherence, health care utilization, malpractice litigation, and health outcome. Different aspects of person centeredness are found to be differentially related to outcome measures. Another line of studies is the research on the effect of communication skills training. Although results are mixed, a number of studies indicate that a person centred approach to clinical care may be learned, and that the skills acquired by health care providers after communication skills training may impact patient outcome.
When people are sick they are a great deal less concerned about managerial considerations of productivity, health targets, cost-effectiveness and rational organization than about their own predicament. Each individual has his or her own way of experiencing and coping with health problems within their specific life circumstances (1). Health workers have to be able to handle that diversity. For health workers at the interface between the population and the health services, the challenge is much more complicated than for a specialized referral service: managing a well-defined disease is a relatively straightforward technical challenge. Dealing with health problems, however, is complicated as people need to be understood holistically: their physical, emotional and social concerns, their past and their future, and the realities of the world in which they live. Failure to deal with the whole person in their specific familial and community contexts misses out on important aspects of health that do not immediately fit into disease categories.

People want to know that their health worker understands them, their suffering and the constraints they face. Unfortunately, many providers neglect this aspect of the therapeutic relation, particularly when they are dealing with disadvantaged groups. In many health services, responsiveness and person-centredness are treated as luxury goods to be handed out only to a selected few.

Over the last 30 years, a considerable body of research evidence has shown that person-centredness is not only important to relieve the patient’s anxiety but also to improve the provider’s job satisfaction (2). The response to a health problem is more likely to be effective if the person understands its various dimensions (3). For a start, simply asking patients how they feel about their illness, how it affects their lives, rather than focusing only on the disease, results in measurably increased trust and compliance (4) that allows patient and provider to find a common ground on clinical management, and facilitates the integration of prevention and health promotion in the therapeutic response (2, 3). Thus, person-centredness becomes the “clinical method of participatory democracy” (5), measurably improving the quality of care particularly in the industrial world (2), the success of treatment and the quality of life of those benefiting from such care: improved treatment intensity and quality of life (6); better understanding of the psychological aspects of a patient’s problems (7); improved patient confidence regarding sensitive problems (8); increased trust and treatment compliance (4); better integration of preventive and promotive care (2).

Person-centred care has also been applicable in developing world as part of the characteristics of “good” quality of care as well as its measurement (9). In Thailand, different domains of person centred care were measured by using a method of simulated patient survey: waiting time at all stages (at reception, between reception and consultation, at the cashier following consultation, and to obtain medication); politeness (frequent use of the politeness particles ‘khrub’ and ‘khaa’); duration of consultations (disaggregated for the time patients are allowed to express their problems, the time is allocated to physical examination, the time the doctor is talking to the patient, and the time the doctor spends writing or dealing with the nursing and administrative staff); responses to different categories of patient-centredness (requests for information, empathy, anxiety relief); medicalisation (prescribed drugs and suggested investigations); and costs (cost of drugs; consultation fee, cost of recommended investigation, and cost to state) (10, 11).

In practice, clinicians rarely address their patients’ concerns, beliefs and understanding of illness, and seldom share problem management options with them (12). They limit themselves to simple technical prescriptions, ignoring the complex human dimensions that are critical to the appropriateness and effectiveness of the care they provide (13).

Thus, technical advice on lifestyle, treatment schedule or referral all too often neglects not only the constraints of the environment in which people live, but also their potential for self-help in dealing with a host of health problems ranging from diarrhoeal disease (14) to diabetes management (15). Yet, neither the nurse in Niger’s rural health centre nor the general practitioner in Belgium can, for example, refer a patient to hospital without negotiating (16) (17): along with medical criteria, they have to take into account the patient’s values, the family’s values, and their lifestyle and life perspective (18).

Few health providers have been trained for person-centred care. Lack of proper preparation is compounded by cross-cultural conflicts, social stratification, discrimination and stigma (17). As a consequence, the considerable potential of people to contribute to their own health through lifestyle, behaviour and self-care, and by adapting professional advice optimally to their life circumstances is underutilized. The current payment systems and incentives in community health-care delivery often work against establishing this type of dialogue (19). Conflicts of interest between provider and patient, particularly in unregulated commercial settings, are a major disincentive to person-centred care. Commercial providers may be more courteous and client-friendly than in the average health centre, but this is no substitute for person-centredness.
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**S 9.3**

**THE PERSON IN HEALTH CARE POLICY DEVELOPMENT**

Dr. Jan Wallcraft  
Programme Consultant, UK Department of Health, London, UK

This presentation will briefly outline the historical and sociological changes in the late 20th Century which led to involvement of the person in health policy. It will touch on philosophical and ethical reasons for bringing the person into healthcare policy, and then go on to the more practical and pragmatic reasons in decision-making theory, and research which shows the advantages to public health of empowerment and choice. Finally examples will be given of how person-centred health policy can lead to better public health, more responsible citizenship and better health economics.